





4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No. 10/021,016

Application of: Alexandre Drobychev, James Kong,

Nirupama Mallavarupu, Ching-Wen Chu

Filed: December 19, 2001

Art Unit: 2192

Examiner: Vo, Ted T.

Attorney Docket No. P5794

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR THE DEVELOPMENT

OF COMMERCE SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS

Confirmation No.: 8774

Customer No.: 32658

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

MAIL STOP RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents:

- 1. Request for Continued Examination with \$790 fee;
- Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form; 2.
- Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail; and 3.
- Return postcard 4.

relating to the above application, were deposited as "Express Mail", Mailing Label No. EV330613420US with the United States Postal Service, addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 1 Sept 275 5

September 2005

Date

Mailer

Michael C. Martensen, Reg. No. 46,901

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP

One Tabor Center

1200 17th Street, Suite 1500

Denver, Colorado 80202

(719) 448-5910 Tel (303) 899-7333 Fax



PTOL-413A (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid. OMB control number.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form Application Number: 10/021,016 First Named Applicant: Alexandre Drobychev et al. Examiner: Vo, Ted T. Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Pending **Tentative Participants:** (1) Michael C. Martensen Proposed Date of Interview: September 15, 2005 Proposed Time: 1:00 PM (AM/PM) Type of Interview Requested: (1) X Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES \square NO If yes, provide brief description: _____ Issues To Be Discussed Prior **Not Agreed** Issues Claims/ Discussed Agreed (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art (1) CFR 1.56 rejection \Box (2) 35 U.S.C. § 102 rejection 1-16, 18-26, 29-32 Kapczynski et al. ☐ Continuation Sheet Attached **Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:** The distinction between importing and analyzing log files as disclosed in Kapczynski and maintaining permanent and session application data as claimed. Further, the platform independent nature of the Applicant's invention. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)